REMARKS

Claims 4-6 remain pending in this application. Claim 4 was amended in this response. No new matter has been introduced as a result of the amendment.

Claims 4-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by *Voit et al.* (5,751,707). The Applicant traverses the rejection. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Specifically, *Voit* fails to teach "generating, based on the available supplementary services, an operating menu which is transmitted via a mobile switching center to the called subscriber station and which provides for administration of the supplementary services with respect to the calling subscriber station" as claimed in claim 4.

Voit teaches an intelligent network operation where a calling subscriber (col. 10, lines 47-50), that has activated supplementary services may route calls according to data supplied by an intelligent node (col. 11, lines 7-13). *Voit* teaches that different AIN service customers may customize their individual services, where the processing of incoming calls to integrated service customers break down into two general classes or categories: one class involves only display of relevant information (Caller ID type display on a television set 75 for incoming telephone calls), and the other class provides an interactive control of the call processing in response to real time user input information (col. 12, lines 8-20). Applicant submits that the "display" category (discussed in col. 12, line 21 to col. 13, line 43) does not provide an operating menu as recited in claim 4.

Regarding the "interactive control" category described in *Voit*, the document teaches that the ISCP recognizes from the called party's CPR that the service involves an interactive type through a broadband network. The ISCP 3 then sends a GDI protocol query message to the headend 4, where the query message instructs the headend to send back certain requested information (col. 13, lines 53-62). The headend 4 transmits an addressed signaling message through the wireless broadcast from antenna 5 to antenna 6 and set-top terminal or DET 8, where the DET 8 decodes the signaling message and operates in accord with information contained therein. In the present example, the message to the DET instructs the DET to generate a display

of call related data from the message (S15). The DET 8 preferably displays the telephone number and associated name information for the calling station 9B and the television 75. The display generated in response to the addressed message includes prompting information requesting a user input specifying a selected disposition of the incoming call (col. 15, lines 1-23). Upon viewing the information that the DET 8 displays on the television 75, the user activates the remote control 85 to input desired control information

Accordingly, *Voit* does not teach the generating, based on the available supplementary services, of an operating menu which is transmitted via a mobile switching center to the called subscriber station in the present claims. As discussed above, wireless packet data network of *Voit* provides the signaling communication between terminal devices and a headend system that communicates with a set-top box for providing interactive services, and does not provide operating menus generated from a mobile switching center.

For at least these reasons, claims 4-6 are in allowable form, and an early Notice of Allowance is earnestly requested. If any additional fees are due in connection with this application as a whole, the Examiner is authorized to deduct such fees from deposit account no. 02-1818. If such a deduction is made, please indicate the attorney docket number (0112710-0368) on the account statement..

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC

BY _____
Peter Zura
Reg. No. 48,196
P.O. Box 1135
Chicago, Illinois 60690-1135
Phone: (312) 807-4208

Dated: June 21, 2005